Better Safe than Sorry: Checkpointing In-Memory Data Analytics Applications





Bogdan Ghit and Dick Epema

ACM HPDC 2017 Washington D.C.



Distributed Systems Group Delft University of Technology Delft, the Netherlands



About me

PhD degree from TU Delft, advised by Dick Epema

Thesis topic on scheduling data analytics frameworks







elft

Call for Efficiency

Large-scale data processing is now widespread







Spark Scheduling Model



In-memory parallel computation

Task to slot allocation

TUDelft

Resilient but Inefficient by Design

Impact of a single failure





Recomputation vs. Checkpointing





With checkpointing

The Case for Checkpointing

Failure type	Rate (per year)	Machines lost	Downtime
Overheating	0.5	All	1-2 days
PDU	1	500-1000	6 h
Net. rewiring	1	5%	2 days
Racks	20	40-80	1-6 h
Servers	1000	-	-
HDD	1000s	-	-

Cycle scavenging on cheap but unreliable spot instances reduces costs by 50-60%

TUDelft Jeff Dean, https://www.cs.cornell.edu/projects/ladis2009/talks/dean-keynote-ladis2009.pdf

Where We Want to Go



Frequency of checkpointing

Checkpointing as a task-selection problem:

- 1) How to checkpoint tasks?
- 2) Which tasks to checkpoint?

Checkpointing Tasks



Policy Framework

Which tasks should be checkpointed?



Greedy Checkpointing



Task selection

- As many tasks as the budget allows
- Inflight checkpointing tasks are allowed to finish

The checkpointing budget

- Limits the checkpointing cost in each stage
- Set to a fraction of the total stage input



Size Checkpointing



Task selection

- Straggler tasks that run very slow
- Avoid recomputing time-consuming tasks

Identify stragglers

- Build up a history of task runtimes per job
- Tasks **m** times as long as the median runtime



Greedy versus Size



Aware Checkpointing

Task selection

• Estimated benefit outweighs the checkpointing cost



Checkpoint tasks if: p (T + R) > C, p is the likelihood of failure



Recomputation Cost





Single recovery path

Multiple recovery paths

Checkpointing Cost

Difficult to anticipate

- Output size and write throughput
- Contention due to other tasks being checkpointed



Stage 0 - input read from disk, so the recovery time is 0

Application DAG Layouts





Experimental Setup



Simulation using empirical workload

- *Greedy*: the **budget** is set to 10% based on the median selectivity of all tasks
- Size: stragglers are tasks running 1.5 times as slow as the median task runtime
- *Periodic*: Young's **optimal** checkpointing interval

Experiment 1

What is the checkpointing overhead in our policies?

Experiment details:

- 20-machine cluster
- All applications
- All policies

Takeaway: Size and Aware are very selective in checkpointing and have relatively low overheads.



Experiment 2

How does the performance of our policies compare with periodic checkpointing?

Experiment details:

- 20-machine cluster
- BTWorld application
- All policies

Takeaway: Greedy and Aware deliver constant job runtimes for the complete range of failures.





Experiment 3

What is the impact of the lineage length?

Experiment details:

- 5-machine cluster
- PageRank
- Aware policy

Takeaway: The Aware policy performs very well irrespective of the lineage length of the application.





Simulation

What is the impact of the failure pattern?

Simulation details:

- 10,000-machine cluster
- Job profiles from experiments
- Short (< 30min) and long (>2h)

Takeaway: Panda stops being beneficial when the cluster experiences less than one failure per hour.







In-memory data analytics require checkpointing,

checkpointing is worthwhile if you do it right,

using Panda is the right way to do it!



Backup slides



Integration with Spark



- Fault-tolerance
- Scalability

TUDelft

Checkpointing is Important

Flint [EuroSys'16]

- Variation of periodic checkpointing
- Datasets fit in the cluster memory
- Failure of the complete cluster

TR-Spark [SoCC'16]

- Requires the distribution of task runtimes
- Requires the distribution of VM lifetimes

Panda [HPDC'17]

- More policies, more applications
- Both experiments and simulations



Remote Storage

Remote bulk object store (S3)

- 30-40 MB/s r/w performance per core
- Scales to 60-80 GB/s across 2800 simultaneous calls